REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		Form Approved OMB NO. 0704-0188			
The public reporting burden for this collection of searching existing data sources, gathering and mai regarding this burden estimate or any other asp Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding an information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE	ntaining the data needed, nect of this collection of Operations and Report y other provision of law, no rol number.	and completing ar information, includ ts, 1215 Jefferson	d reviewing the ng suggesstions Davis Highway,	collection of information. Send comments for reducing this burden, to Washingtor Suite 1204, Arlington VA, 22202-4302.	
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 2. REPORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED (From - To)		
28-08-2012	Related Material			-	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	1	5a C	ONTRACT NUM	 IBER	
A Forensically Robust Memory Image AcquisitionProtocol			W911NF-11-1-0174		
Based on Windows Memory Analysis			5b. GRANT NUMBER		
		1	5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 206022		
6. AUTHORS			5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
Jose de la Cruz, Dr. Jeff Duffany (Advisor)					
		5e. TA	5e. TASK NUMBER		
		5f. W	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMES A Polytechnic University of Puerto Rico 377 Ponce De Leon Hato Rey San Juan, PR 009	AND ADDRESSES		8. PERFORM NUMBER	MING ORGANIZATION REPORT	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) ARO		
U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
Research Thangle Lark, INC 27/09-2211			58924-CS-REP.16		
12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILIBILITY STATEME Approved for public release; distribution is unlimit					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinions and/or findings contained in the of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so			I not contrued as	an official Department	
14. ABSTRACT Collecting a forensically sound memory image from a "live" system increa the effectiveness of the forensic investigati providing analysts with enhanced data and context to extend the knowledge obtained f	on by				
15. SUBJECT TERMS Digital forensics; Brute-force methods; Windows	Memory Analysis				

17. LIMITATION OF

ABSTRACT

UU

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:

UU

b. ABSTRACT

a. REPORT

UU

c. THIS PAGE

UU

15. NUMBER

OF PAGES

19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON

19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER

Alfredo Cruz

787-622-8000

Report Title

A Forensically Robust Memory Image AcquisitionProtocol Based on Windows Memory Analysis

ABSTRACT

Collecting a forensically sound memory image from a "live" system increases the effectiveness of the forensic investigation by providing analysts with enhanced data and context to extend the knowledge obtained from long term storage devices.

- ? More, and better, data will most likely deliver better and more robust conclusions.
- ? Enhanced understanding leads to better policy development and application.

Why is it important?

- ? Capability to inspect disks protected by whole disk encryption
- ? Recover passwords for files, folders, etc. without incurring in "brute-force" methods
- ? Obtain "up-to-date" data on actives processes
- ? Provide analysts with the capability to extract more information from the system by providing context to the "swap" disk area
- ? Obtain active (and "closing") network connections

Project Proposal

A Forensically Robust Memory Image Acquisition Protocol Based on Windows Memory Analysis

April 20, 2012 José R. De la Cruz

Digital Forensics, or the application of forensic science and procedures to computers, has been defined by the Committee on National Security Systems, in CNSS Instruction No. 4009, as "the practice of gathering, retaining, and analyzing computer-related data for investigative purposes in a manner that maintains the integrity of the data." Digital Forensics Analysts have dedicated most of their efforts to gather evidence from long term storage devices. The new "era" of robust and effective forensic analysis now includes the "live" portion of the target system; i.e. the data contained in memory.

- Memory contents:
 - Page tables
 - Indexes
 - Passwords
 - Encryption keys
 - Network connections
 - Active processes
 - Clipboard contents
 - IM (Instant Messaging) information

- Memory contents (continued)
 - System time
 - Logged-on users
 - Open files and folders
 - Open port list
 - Port to process mapping
 - OS processes running in background
 - Hardware process data (drivers)

- Why is it important?
 - Capability to inspect disks protected by whole disk encryption
 - Recover passwords for files, folders, etc. without incurring in "brute-force" methods
 - Obtain "up-to-date" data on actives processes
 - Provide analysts with the capability to extract more information from the system by providing context to the "swap" disk area
 - Obtain active (and "closing") network connections

- In summary, collecting a forensically sound memory image from a "live" system increases the effectiveness of the forensic investigation by providing analysts with enhanced data and context to extend the knowledge obtained from long term storage devices.
- More, and better, data will most likely deliver better and more robust conclusions.
- Enhanced understanding leads to better policy development and application.

Problems

- Locard's Exchange Principle:
 - When two object's come in contact there is a transfer of materials between them
 - Changes will always occur on idle systems
 - Asking the system for information changes the state
- Inability to create an accurate "bit-by-bit" memory image, or forensic copy.
- Acquisition "speed" can render "outdated" memory contents, especially on very active systems.

- Problems (continued)
 - In CNSS Instruction No. 4009, forensic copy is "an accurate bit-for-bit reproduction of the information contained on an electronic device or associated media, whose validity and integrity has been verified using an accepted algorithm."
 - This definition is the main "problem" affecting the use of memory images for forensic analysis.
 - Because compliance with forensic copy cannot be validated, evidence derived from it can be refuted in court.

Project Goals

- Conform to CNSS Instruction No. 4009 definition for forensic copy by "validating" a memory image to be correct and integral.
- Determine, or map, Windows OS use of memory real estate in order to discard some areas of the memory or label them as unimportant.
- Develop a protocol which ensures that data used from acquired image is indeed verifiable and its integrity can be proved.

- Proposed Project Plan
 - Build Body of Knowledge that will support the development of the project.
 - Understand how Windows OS "uses" memory (physical).
 - Single out background and maintenance processes that do not include forensically relevant information.
 - Read and analyze methods or procedures suggested by others, and annotate weaknesses and strengths.
 - Collect, examine, and analyze current memory image acquisition tools and annotate weaknesses and strengths.
 - From previous two steps, develop initial protocol.

- Proposed Project Plan (continued)
 - Select specific target system to be used in empirical testing scenario.
 - Develop test procedure.
 - Use initial protocol to acquire memory images at different time instances.
 - Compare the different memory images in other to single out the differences between them.
 - Analyze the differences so as to discard irrelevant data.

- Proposed Project Plan (continued)
 - Develop initial "memory map".
 - Use some "accepted" forensic analysis application to extract "evidence" from all memory images, and analyze the differences.
 - Refine protocol to account for errors or other actions that hinder the forensic copy acquisition.
 - Finally, publish results of protocol in a publication or Web site and invite others to test the protocol and submit reviews.

- Some Important Questions
 - Is it possible to develop such protocol?
 - Can the protocol be extended to other versions of Windows OS's?
 - Can the protocol be extended to other operating systems?
 - Is the protocol specific to a hardware & software set or does it apply to many other combinations?
 - Has the "verified using an accepted algorithm" requirement been met?

- Further Research Extensions
 - Can the same protocol be used in mobile devices?
 - Can the protocol be extended to other computer system related devices, such as routers, switches, printers, etc.?
 - Is there a need for the development and deployment of a specific tool set?
 - Would there be a "market" for such tool set?

